

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

	S	ERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST N	AMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
_	0	7/888,111	05/26/92 SCHERTLER		R	622/40901		
						NGUYEN	XAMINER	
	,-	samsaman (JA)	NDS. FDWARDS.			EXCIPATE A STERNING	•	
	1	EVENSON, WANDS, EDWARDS, LENAHAN & MCKEOWN ARTUN					PAPER NUMBER	
	1	.200 G ST., VASHINGTON,	N.W., STE.	700		3206	(	
	V	APHIIMA I OIM,	- DC 20000			· nere cansa ees	12/04/92	
The	s is a	communication from the	examiner in charge of yo	ur application.		HATE MAILED:		
COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS								
<b>Z</b> (1	his a	pplication has been e	examined	Responsive to commu	nication filed on		This action is made final.	
\ shc	orten	ed statutory period fo	or response to this act	ion is set to expire		s) day	rs from the date of this letter.	
Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133								
Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:								
1.	区							
3. 5.	_		by Applicant, PTO-144 to Effect Drawing Ch				cation, Form PTO-152.	
		anomation on now	to Ellect Drawing On	anges, F10-1474.	6. 🗆		·	
ert i	١	SUMMARY OF AC	TION	v a				
1.	Ø	, Claims		17			are pending in the application.	
		Of the above	. claims	19		12	vithdrawn from consideration.	
	П			,			william nom consideration.	
2.	ш	Claims					have been cancelled.	
3.		Claims					are allowed.	
4.	×	Claims		18			are rejected.	
5.		Claims						
					2.1		are objected to.	
6.	Д	Claims			are	subject to restrictio	n or election requirement.	
7.		This application has	been filed with inform	nal drawings under 37 (	C.F.R. 1.85 which are	acceptable for exam	ination purposes.	
. <b>8.</b>		Formal drawings are	required in response	to this Office action.				
9.		The corrected or sur	bstitute drawings have	e been received on see explanation or Noti	ce re Patent Drawing.	Under 37 C:F.	R. 1.84 these drawings	
10.		The proposed additi	onal or substitute she	et(s) of drawings, filed o	on	has (have) been	approved by the	
		examiner.  disapproved by the examiner (see explanation).						
		•						
12.	×	Acknowledgment is made of the claim for priority under U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has keen received  one has been received  one has b						
		Deen filed in par	ent application, serial	no	; filed on _			
13.				ndition for allowance ex rte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1		rs, prosecution as to	the merits is closed in	
14		Other						

Serial No. 07/888,111

Art Unit 3206

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:
- I. Claims 1-18, drawn to a vacuum process apparatus, classified in Class 269, subclass 21.
- II. Claim 19, drawn to a holding arrangement, classified in Class 29, subclass 563.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

The inventions of Group I does not require a holding arrangement as required by Group II; and Group II does not require a transport arm as required by Group I.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with Mr. Mckeown on November 20, 1992 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-18. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in responding to this Office action. Claim 19 withdrawn from further consideration by the Examiner, 37 C.F.R. § 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

2. Applicant is requested to submit copies of references cited

Serial No. 888111
Art Unit 3206

on page 1, which are DE-A-24 54 544 and JP-1-218-627.

- 3. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The following phrases: "said rotational axis", "the normals" in claim 2; "said station communicating" in claim 10 lack proper antecedent basis. The terminologies "or" and "at least" used throughout the claims are vague and indefinite. The meaning of " the normals ... being warped" in claim 2 is unclear; as is "said openings being ... by a geometric plane" in claim 7. The " workpieces" in claim 18 are not part of the apparatus and therefore it is not clear how claim 18 further limits claim 1.
- 4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. § 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-4,8, 12, 14,15 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being anticipated by Japan reference (JP).

JP discloses a vacuum process apparatus comprising: stations (A, B) having openings (3', 4'); a transport device (1, 2''') rotatable around an axis; and conveyor means, which consist of a stopper (7), the components surrounding the stopper and a lower surface of the chamber 5, that move up and down by a driving means (8, 8'). Regarding claim 18, its limitation is not deemed to further limit the apparatus of claim 1, and therefore is given no patentable weight.

Serial No. 888111

Art Unit 3206

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103 which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same person.

Claims 5-7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Japan reference (JP). JP fails to disclose the pathway of the transport device defining a cone shaped trajectory surface that has an angle less than 90 degree formed with the rotational axis. However, the geometry of the transport arm movement does not show any advantage and therefore it is considered as a matter of design choice.

Claims 10,11,13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over Japan reference in view of Soviet Union reference (hereinafter JP and SUP, respectively). JP fails to disclose a chamber enclosing the transport arm. SUP discloses a vacuum apparatus comprising a station communicating by an opening with the chamber and transport device residing within the

Serial No. 888111

Art Unit 3206

Therefore it would have been obvious for one having chamber. ordinary skill in the art at the time of Applicant's invention to modify JP in view of SUP by enclosing the entire apparatus in a closed chamber, with each workpiece station having a holding means and configurations as recited in the claims in order to operate more safely.

- Claims 9,16-17 contain allowable subject matter and would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- The prior art made of record and not relied upon is 6. considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to K. V. Nguyen at telephone number (703) 308-1769.

PATENT EXAMINER GROUP 320 - ART UNIT 326

Khan V. Nguyen

November 25, 1992